UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,498	04/19/2004	Liangjing Chen	AMB11001	3464
34725 CHALKER FI	7590 06/27/200 ORES, LLP	7	EXAMINER	
2711 LBJ FRWY			HUTSON, RICHARD G	
	Suite 1036 DALLAS, TX 75234		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.1.2.1.3, 1.1.7.2.3			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/827,498	CHEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard G. Hutson	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u>	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 April 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-28,46-60,84-98 and 102-128 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11-28,46-60,84-98 and 102-128 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)□ - 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	wh		•			
2) Notice Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5/19/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants preliminary amendment of claims 2, 9, 11, 12 and 51 and the cancellation of claims 10, 29-45, 61-83, 99-101 and 124, in the paper filed on 4/9/2007, is acknowledged. Claims 1-9, 11-28, 46-60, 84-98, 102-125 and 125-128 are still at issue and are present for examination.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Claims 1-28, 46-58, 59, 60, 84-96, 97, 98, 102-112, 115-125, 127 and 128, and species MMLV, H638 and F155,

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper."

Applicants filing of information disclosure statement, filed 5/19/2006, is acknowledged. Those references considered have been initialed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 1652

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9, 11-28, 46-60, 84-98, 102-125 and 125-128 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1-9, 11-28, 46-60, 84-98, 102-125 and 125-128 are directed to all possible hyperactive reverse transcriptase comprising one or more point mutations in the processivity domain and one or more point mutations in the nucleotide selection domain. The specification, however, only provides that representative species of the M-MLV reverse transcriptase comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 consisting a mutation at position H638 and F155, encompassed by these claims. There is no disclosure of any particular structure to function/activity relationship in the single disclosed species. The specification also fails to describe additional representative species of these reverse transcriptases by any identifying structural characteristics or properties other than the activities recited in claims 1, for which no predictability of structure is apparent. Given this lack of additional representative species as encompassed by the claims, Applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,498

Art Unit: 1652

Applicant is referred to the revised guidelines concerning compliance with the written description requirement of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, published in the Official Gazette and also available at www.uspto.gov.

Claims 1-9, 11-28, 46-60, 84-98, 102-125 and 125-128 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the M-MLV reverse transcriptase comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 consisting a mutation at position H638 and F155, does not reasonably provide enablement for any hyperactive reverse transcriptase comprising one or more point mutations in the processivity domain and one or more point mutations in the nucleotide selection domain. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in In re Wands (858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)) as follows: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claim(s).

Claims 1-9, 11-28, 46-60, 84-98, 102-125 and 125-128 are so broad as to encompass any hyperactive reverse transcriptase comprising one or more point

Art Unit: 1652

mutations in the processivity domain and one or more point mutations in the nucleotide selection domain. The scope of the claims is not commensurate with the enablement provided by the disclosure with regard to the extremely large number of enzymes broadly encompassed by the claims, including any reverse transcriptase comprising any point mutation in the processivity domain and any point mutation in the nucleotide selection domain. The claims rejected under this section of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, place limited if any structural limits on the claimed reverse transcriptases. Since the amino acid sequence of a protein determines its structural and functional properties, predictability of which changes can be tolerated in a protein's amino acid sequence and obtain the desired activity requires a knowledge of and guidance with regard to which amino acids in the protein's sequence, if any, are tolerant of modification and which are conserved (i.e. expectedly intolerant to modification), and detailed knowledge of the ways in which the proteins' structure relates to its function. However, in this case the disclosure is limited to that M-MLV reverse transcriptase comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 consisting a mutation at position H638 and F155.

While recombinant and mutagenesis techniques are known, it is not routine in the art to screen for multiple substitutions or multiple modifications, as encompassed by the instant claims, and the positions within a protein's sequence where amino acid modifications can be made with a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining the desired activity/utility are limited in any protein and the result of such modifications is unpredictable. In addition, one skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to

Art Unit: 1652

modification for a given protein to diminish with each further and additional modification, e.g. multiple substitutions.

The specification does not support the broad scope of the claims which encompass all modifications and fragments of any the reverse transcriptase comprising one or more point mutations in the processivity domain and one or more point mutations in the nucleotide selection domain because the specification does not establish: (A) regions of the protein structure which may be modified without effecting the hyperactivity; (B) the general tolerance of reverse transcriptase to modification and extent of such tolerance; (C) a rational and predictable scheme for modifying any amino acid residue of a reverse transcriptase with an expectation of obtaining the desired biological function; and (D) the specification provides insufficient guidance as to which of the essentially infinite possible choices is likely to be successful. Because of this lack of guidance, the extended experimentation that would be required to determine which substitutions would be acceptable to retain the reverse transcriptase activity claimed and the fact that the relationship between the sequence of a peptide and its tertiary structure (i.e. its activity) are not well understood and are not predictable (e.g., see Ngo et al. in The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, 1994, Merz et al. (ed.), Birkhauser, Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495, Ref: U, Form-892), it would require undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to arrive at the majority of those polypeptides of the claimed genus having the claimed reverse transcriptase activity.

Thus, applicants have not provided sufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention in a manner reasonably correlated

Application/Control Number: 10/827,498

Art Unit: 1652

with the scope of the claims broadly including any number of amino acid modifications of any reverse transcriptase. The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). Without sufficient guidance, determination of those reverse transcriptases having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue. See In re Wands 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir, 1988).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 46, 47, 49, 50, 57, 58, 86-96, 127, 128 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gao et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,136,582).

Gao et al. teach a hyperactive Moloney murine leukemia Virus reverse transcriptase comprising a mutation at position 155 of the wild-type polymerase. The taught polymerase has a DNA polymerase activity of between 0.1 and 300 Units per microgram and RNase H activity between 0.1 and 25 percent of the wild-type RNase H activity. Gao et al. further teach kits for nucleic acid synthesis comprising the above hyperactive reverse transcriptase and reaction buffers, primers, nucleotides, instructions etc...

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G. Hutson whose telephone number is 571-272-0930. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652